arXiv:1512.06204v2 [math.RT] 3 Jun 2016

Genericity under parahoric restriction

Manish Mishra* Mirko Rösner†

Abstract

We study the preservation of genericity under parahoric restriction of depth zero representations.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a connected reductive group defined over a non-archimedean local field k. Let $\mathbf{B}$ be a k-Borel subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ with unipotent radical $\mathbf{U}$ and let $\mathbf{T}$ be a maximal k-torus in $\mathbf{B}$. The corresponding groups of k-rational points are G, B, U, T. A character $\psi : U \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is called generic if the stabilizer of ψ in T is exactly the center Z of G. An admissible representation π of G is called *generic* (more specifically ψ-*generic*) if there exists a generic character ψ of U such that $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi, \mathrm{Ind}_U^G \psi) \neq 0$.

A basic result due to Rodier [4, Thm. 2] states that genericity is preserved under the Jacquet functor. On the category of depth zero representations of G (see [2] for the notion of depth), there is a functor analogous to the Jacquet functor called the *parahoric restriction* functor [7]. It is defined as follows. Let G_x be a parahoric subgroup of G with pro-unipotent radical G_x^+. The quotient G_x/G_x^+ is the $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of a connected reductive group $\underline{\mathbf{M}}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$, where $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the residue field of k. The parahoric restriction functor sends a representation (π, V) of G to the representation of $\underline{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ obtained by restricting π to G_x and then taking the G_x^+-invariants of it.

We say $\mathbf{G}$ is *unramified*, if it is quasisplit and splits over an unramified extension. Suppose that $\mathbf{G}$ is unramified, that G_x is contained in a hyperspecial parahoric subgroup of G and that π is parabolically induced from a supercuspidal representation of a Levi subgroup of

*University of Heidelberg, Germany, electronic address: manish.mishra@gmail.com
†University of Heidelberg, Germany, electronic address: mirko_rosner@hotmail.com

G. Then we show in Theorem 2 that the parahoric restriction functor preserves genericity of π. This does not generalize to arbitrary parahorics and admissible representations, see Section 5.

2 Notations

Fix a non-archimedean local field k and an unramified connected reductive group $\mathbf{G}$ over k. Let $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{T} \ltimes \mathbf{U}$ be a k-Borel subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ with a maximal k-torus $\mathbf{T}$ and a unipotent radical $\mathbf{U}$. Let $\mathbf{Z}$ be the center of $\mathbf{G}$ and let $\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{ad}} := \mathbf{T}/\mathbf{Z}$. Their groups of k-rational points are G, B, T, U, Z and T_{ad}, respectively. We will follow the standard abuse of notation to write "parabolic subgroups of G" instead of "k-points of k-parabolic subgroups of $\mathbf{G}$".

For a point x in the Bruhat-Tits building of G, the associated parahoric subgroup will be denoted by G_x. Its Levi quotient is $\underline{G_x} = G_x/G_x^+$ with the pro-unipotent radical G_x^+. We will denote by $\mathbf{Rep}(G)$ the category of admissible complex representations of G and likewise for the other groups.

3 Parahoric restriction functor

Fix a point x in the apartment attached to T. Restricting an admissible representation (π, V) of G to the parahoric G_x and taking invariants with respect to the pro-unipotent radical G_x^+ gives rise to a representation of $\underline{G_x} = G_x/G_x^+$. This defines the parahoric restriction functor

$$\mathbf{r}_{G_x} : \mathbf{Rep}(G) \to \mathbf{Rep}(\underline{G_x}), \qquad \begin{cases} (\pi, V) \longmapsto \left(\underline{G_x} \to \mathrm{Aut}(V^{G_x^+})\right), \\ (V_1 \to V_2) \longmapsto \left(V_1^{G_x^+} \to V_2^{G_x^+}\right), \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $V_1 \to V_2$ is a morphism between admissible representations (π_1, V_1) and (π_2, V_2). This functor is exact and defines a homomorphism between the corresponding Grothendieck groups, analogous to Jacquet's functor of parabolic restriction.

For hyperspecial parahorics, parahoric restriction commutes with parabolic induction in the following sense:

Theorem 1. *Let x be a hyperspecial point in the apartment attached to T with corresponding hyperspecial parahoric subgroup $G_x \subseteq G$. Fix a standard parabolic subgroup $P \supseteq B$ with Levi decomposition $P = M \ltimes N$ such that $T \subseteq M$. Then the following diagram is commutative*

up to natural equivalence:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Rep}(G) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}_{G_x}} & \mathbf{Rep}(\underline{G_x}) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{Ind}_P^G}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Ind}_{\underline{P}}^{\underline{G_x}}} \\ \mathbf{Rep}(M) & \xrightarrow[\mathbf{r}_{M_x}]{} & \mathbf{Rep}(\underline{M_x}). \end{array}$$

The parabolic subgroup $\underline{P} = (G_x \cap P)/(G_x^+ \cap P)$ of $\underline{G_x}$ is generated by the same roots as P and has Levi subgroup $\underline{M_x}$.

Proof. The parahoric subgroup of M attached to x is $M_x \cong G_x \cap M$, cp. [2].

Fix an admissible representation (σ, V) of M and denote its inflation to P by the same symbol. We construct a natural equivalence $(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\sigma))^{G_x^+} \to \mathrm{Ind}_{\underline{P}}^{\underline{G_x}}(\mathbf{r}_{M_x}(\sigma))$. Then $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)$ has a canonical model by right multiplication on the space of functions $f : G \to V$ with

$$f(pg) = \delta_P^{1/2}(p)\sigma(p)f(g) \qquad \forall p \in P,\ \ g \in G,$$

where δ_P is the modulus character. By the Iwasawa decomposition $G = PG_x$, every such f is uniquely defined by its restriction $\tilde{f} = f|_{G_x}$ to G_x. The linear map $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ is thus a G_x-equivariant isomorphism from $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)$ to the space of $\tilde{f} : G_x \to V$ with

$$\tilde{f}(pg) = \sigma(p)\tilde{f}(g) \qquad \forall p \in G_x \cap P,\ \ g \in G_x.$$

Such an $\tilde{f} : G_x \to V$ is invariant under the right action of G_x^+ if and only if $\tilde{f}(xu) = \tilde{f}(x)$ for every $u \in G_x^+$ and $x \in G_x$. In that case we have

$$\sigma(p)\tilde{f}(g) = \tilde{f}(pg) = \tilde{f}(gg^{-1}pg) = \tilde{f}(g) \qquad \forall p \in P \cap G_x^+,\ \ g \in G_x,$$

since $g^{-1}pg \in G_x^+$. Hence $\tilde{f}$ actually maps into the invariant space $V^{P \cap G_x^+}$.

Now $\tilde{f}$ factors over a unique function $h_f : \underline{G_x} \to V^{P \cap G_x^+}$ with the property

$$h_f(\underline{pg}) = \mathbf{r}_{M_x}(\sigma)(\underline{p})h_f(\underline{g}) \qquad \forall \underline{p} \in \underline{P}\ \ \underline{g} \in \underline{G}.$$

The action of $\underline{G_x}$ by right-multiplication on the space of these h_f is a model of the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{\underline{P}} \circ \mathbf{r}_{M_x}(\sigma)$ of $\underline{G_x}$. The family of isomorphisms $\{f \mapsto h_f\}_\sigma$ provides the natural equivalence. □

4 Generic depth zero

A character $\psi : U \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is called *generic* if its stabilizer in T_{ad} is trivial. Let z be a hyperspecial vertex of G in the apartment attached to T. A generic character ψ of U is called *depth-zero* at z if its restriction to $U \cap G_z$ factors through a generic character ψ_z of $\underline{U} := (U \cap G_z)/(U \cap G_z^+)$.

Theorem 2. *Let $\pi = \mathrm{Ind}_{P,M}^G(\sigma)$ be an irreducible admissible representation of G that is parabolically induced from a supercuspidal irreducible representation σ of a Levi subgroup M of a parabolic $P \subseteq G$. Let G_x be a parahoric subgroup of G, contained in a hyperspecial parahoric subgroup, such that $\underline{\pi} := \mathbf{r}_{G_x}(\pi) \neq 0$. Then π being generic implies that $\underline{\pi}$ is generic.*

Proof. By conjugating x and P if necessary, we can assume without loss of generality that $P \supseteq B$, that $M \supseteq T$, that x is a point in the apartment associated to T and that π is generic with respect to a generic character ψ of U. We can assume without loss that G_x is a hyperspecial maximal parahoric subgroup of G because of transitivity of parahoric restriction [7, 4.1.3] and Rodier's result [4, Thm. 2].

If w_o is an element in the normalizer of T such that $B \cap w_o B w_o^{-1} = T$, then $Q := M \cap w_o U w_o^{-1}$ is a maximal unipotent subgroup of M [4, Thm. 2]. Define a generic character of Q by $\psi_M(q) = \psi(w_o^{-1} q w_o)$ for $q \in Q$. Then by [4, Thm. 2],

$$\mathrm{Hom}_U(\pi, \psi) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_Q(\sigma, \psi_M). \tag{4.1}$$

Since $\underline{\pi} \neq 0$, Theorem 1 implies that σ is a depth zero supercuspidal representation of M. By [1, Lemma 6.1.2], there is a hyperspecial point y of M and a cuspidal representation τ° of $\underline{M_y}$ such that

a) ψ_M is depth zero at y and τ° is $(\psi_M)_y$-generic.

b) There is an extension of τ° to a representation τ of the normalizer $[M_y]$ of M_y in M such that $\sigma = \text{c-Ind}_{[M_y]}^M \tau$. Note that since y is a hyperspecial point of M, $[M_y] = Z_M M_y$, where Z_M denotes the center of M.

Since σ has depth zero at x by Thm. 1, we can assume without loss of generality that $x = y$ (see proof of [8, Lemma 3.3(ii)]). We have therefore

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{Q}}(\tau^\circ, (\psi_M)_x) \neq 0, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\underline{Q}$ denotes a maximal unipotent subgroup of $\underline{M_x}$ defined in the same way as Q. Theorem 1 and a result of Vignéras [6, §7] imply that $\underline{\pi}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ind}_{\underline{P}}^{G_x}\tau^\circ$. Then again by [4, Thm. 2],

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{U}}(\underline{\pi}, \psi_x) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{Q}}(\tau^\circ, (\psi_M)_x) \neq 0. \tag{4.3}$$

This completes the proof. □

5 Non-special parahoric restriction

In Theorem 2 we make two technical assumptions: we assume that π is parabolically induced and that G_x is contained in a hyperspecial maximal parahoric subgroup. However, if we drop these assumptions, there is a counterexample.

Counterexample: There is a generic irreducible admissible representation π of $G = \mathrm{GSp}(4, k)$ and a parahoric subgroup $G_x \subseteq G$ such that the parahoric restriction $\mathbf{r}_{G_x}(\pi)$ is non-zero, but not generic.

Proof. Let ξ be the non-trivial unramified quadratic character of $k^\times$. Let $G = \mathrm{GSp}(4, k)$ be the group of symplectic similitudes with respect to the symplectic form $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} & w \\ -w & \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for $w = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Fix the Borel pair (B, T) where $B = T \ltimes U \subseteq G$ is the subgroup of upper triangular matrices and $T \subseteq B$ is the maximal torus of diagonal matrices. Fix a character

$$\alpha : T \to \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad \mathrm{diag}(a, b, c/a, c/b) \mapsto \xi(ab)\,|a|\,|c|^{-1/2}.$$

Inflating α to B gives rise to the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \alpha$, which admits a unique irreducible subrepresentation[1] π. The representation π is generic for arbitrary with respect to every generic character ψ of G.

The standard paramodular subgroup $G_x \subseteq G$ is a non-special maximal parahoric subgroup. The second author has shown [5, Thm. 3.7] that the parahoric restriction $\mathbf{r}_{G_x}(\pi)$ is isomorphic to the restriction of $\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathrm{St} \oplus \mathrm{St} \otimes \mathbf{1}$ to

$$\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in (\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_q))^2 \mid \det \xi_1 = \det \xi_2\} \cong \underline{G_x}.$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the trivial and St is the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$. Thus $\mathbf{r}_{G_x}(\pi)$ is not generic. □

[1] This is type Va in the notation of Roberts and Schmidt [3].

Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to Sandeep Varma for pointing out a mistake in the earlier draft of this article.

References

[1] S. DeBacker and M. Reeder. Depth-zero supercuspidal L-packets and their stability. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 169(3):795–901, 2009.

[2] A. Moy and G. Prasad. Jacquet functors and unrefined minimal K-types. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 71(1):98–121, 1996.

[3] B. Roberts and R. Schmidt. *Local Newforms for $GSp(4)$*, volume 1918 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, 1 edition, October 2007.

[4] F. Rodier. Whittaker models for admissible representations of reductive p-adic split groups. In *Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXVI, Williams Coll., Williamstown, Mass., 1972)*, pages 425–430. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973.

[5] M. Rösner. *Parahoric restriction for* GSp(4) *and the inner cohomology of Siegel modular threefolds*. PhD thesis, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, 2016.

[6] M.-F. Vignéras. Irreducible Modular Representations of a reductive p-adic group and simple Modules for Hecke Algebras. In C. Casacuberta et al., editors, *European Congress of Mathematics, Barcelona*, volume 201 of *Progress in Mathematics*, pages 117–133. Birkhäuser, 2001.

[7] M.-F. Vignéras. Schur algebras of reductive p-adic groups, I. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 116(1):35–75, 2003.

[8] J.-K. Yu. Construction of tame supercuspidal representations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 14(3):579–622 (electronic), 2001.